

The Times-Dispatch.

PUBLISHED DAILY AND WEEKLY AT
THE
TIMES-DISPATCH BUILDING.

BUSINESS OFFICE, NO. 916 EAST MAIN
STREET.

Entered January 27, 1903, at
Richmond, Va., as second-class
matter, under Act of Congress
of March 3, 1879.

Washington Bureau: No. 501 Fourteenth
Street, Northwest, Corner Pennsylvania
Avenue.

Manchester Bureau: Carter's Drug Store,
No. 1102 Hull Street.

Petersburg Headquarters: H. W. Fowler,
44 North Sycamore Street.

The DAILY TIMES-DISPATCH is sold
at 2 cents a copy.

The SUNDAY TIMES-DISPATCH is
sold at 5 cents a copy.

The DAILY TIMES-DISPATCH, includ-
ing Sunday, in Richmond and Manchester,
by carrier, 12 cents per week, or 50 cents
per month.

THE TIMES-DISPATCH, Richmond, Va.

BY MAIL. One Six Three One
Year. Mo. Mo. Mo. Mo.

Daily, with Sun., \$5.00 \$2.50 \$1.25 50c

Daily without Sun., 3.00 1.50 .75 25c

Sun. edition only, 2.00 1.00 .50 25c

Weekly (Wed.) 1.00 .50 .25 —

All Unpaid Communications will be
discarded.

Reflected Communications will not be
returned unless accompanied by stamps.

SUNDAY JUNE 11, 1906.

If you go to the mountains, sea-
shore or country, have The Times-
Dispatch follow you.

City subscribers should notify the
Circulation Department (Phone 38)
before leaving the city.

If you write, please give city ad-
dress as well as out-of-town address.

The Corporation Commission.

We print in to-day's paper a communi-
cation from Mr. A. C. Braxton, of
Staunton, reviewing at length the affairs
of the State Corporation Commission, es-
pecially as related to the connection of
Judge Beverly T. Crump with the Vir-
ginia Corporation Commission, Incorporated.

Mr. Braxton's views are most valuable,
because he was author of the ordinance
creating the commission and necessarily
feels the deepest interest in the com-
mission's good name and welfare. It
may also be stated just here that origi-
nally Judge Crump was not Mr. Braxton's
choice as a member of the commission.

On the contrary, Judge Crump's appoint-
ment excluded another man whose ap-
pointment Mr. Braxton desired and
earnestly advocated. But in view of the
excellent service that Judge Crump has
rendered, Mr. Braxton has been fair
enough to acknowledge that it was a
wise selection, and he declares that his
retirement at this time would be a public
misfortune. Like The Times-Dispatch,
Mr. Braxton has made searching inquiry
into this unfortunate affair from begin-
ning to end, and again like The Times-
Dispatch, he has reached the deliberate
conclusion that, while Judge Crump was
undiscovered, he was innocent of any in-
tention to do wrong; that in taking a single
share of stock for which he paid one
hundred dollars in cash, he was prompted
by a desire to aid a company which, in
its turn, would be of material assistance
to the Corporation Commission in pre-
paring corporations charters in prop-
er form. Mr. Braxton emphasizes the
difference between public service corpora-
tions, such as railroad companies, tele-
graph companies and the like, and cor-
porations which may do only what indi-
viduals working together may do, without
being incorporated.

In the case of public service corpora-
tions, the Constitution provides that the
Corporation Commission "shall have the
power and be charged with the duty of
superintending, regulating and controlling
all transportation companies doing busi-
ness in this State, in all matters relat-
ing to the performance of their public
duties and their charges therefor, and of
correcting abuses therein by such com-
panies, and to that end shall, from
time to time, prescribe and enforce
against such companies such rules,
charges, classifications of traffic and rules
and regulations, etc. In view of this
exercise of authority over the railroad
and telegraph companies, the Constitution
provides in effect that no member or em-
ployee of the commission shall hold stock
in a "transportation or transmission"
company and for manifest reasons. But
there is no such restriction as to private
corporations, such as the Virginia Cor-
poration Company, Incorporated, for the
sufficient reason that the corporation has
no authority over them. All that it has
to do is to see that they are incorporated
according to laws enacted by the Legisla-
ture, and that they make proper re-
turns to the State. Therefore, Judge
Crump violated no law whatsoever, when
he took a share of stock in the Virginia
Corporation Company, Incorporated. It
was a legitimate business, and was, in
Judge Crump's opinion, a useful company
to the Corporation Commission. It goes
without saying that, as matters have
turned out, it was unwise and most un-
fortunate that Judge Crump took and
paid for a share of the stock. But he
did nothing illegal; he did not perform
any service for the company or give it
any of his time, and nothing that he
did in this connection in any way com-
promised him or the Corporation Com-
mission, or interfered in any particular
with the discharge of his official duties.

His associates on the commission, who
are known to be men of the highest per-
sonal and official integrity, have lost no
particle of their confidence in Judge
Crump's honesty or honor and have no
remote suspicion that he intended to
do anything wrong or that he did any-
thing wrong. On the contrary, they are
sure that he acted in perfect sincerity
and believed that he was doing the Cor-
poration Commission a service in encour-
aging the Virginia Corporation Company.

And now, in common sense, if the
"father of the commission," the other
members of the commission and the
hosts of men in Richmond who have
known Judge Crump from his boyhood
are satisfied that he has done no wrong
and has not used his time or position
in the least degree to aid the Virginia
Corporation Company, why should he be
condemned by others and asked to retire?
We submit the case to the good sense
and fairness of the Virginia public.

Is Washington City Safe?

The joint military and naval exercises
to begin at and about Old Point at 12
o'clock to-night are intended to answer
the question: "Is Washington city safer
or rather would it be secure from capture
by an enemy in the event of a war with
a strong foreign power? These manoeu-
vers will be different from those of last
year, in that they will expose none of the
public or to foreign governments as to the
position or condition of our defensive
works.

In the year 1811, when we were fighting
England for the second time, the Brit-
ish were permitted to secure control of
the Chesapeake Bay, and no measures
that were in any way effective were taken
to defend Washington, then a city of
only about five or six thousand inhabi-
tants. The British went up the Potomac
River and disembarked at Benedict, a
little more than thirty miles southeast of
the city. The battle of Bladensburg, for
the possession of the nation's capital, fol-
lowed. The battle was fought within ar-
tillery range of the city, and the Capital
was burned.

This sad experience led to the planning
of a defensive system worthy the name.
Because of the existence of this system,
which was far from being complete, the
United States government, at the begin-
ning of the war between the States, had
only to strengthen the old forts, erect a
few new ones, and to employ the navy
to be able to dominate Chesapeake Bay
and the Potomac River so completely that
the Confederate forces could hope to cap-
ture Washington only by land campaigns.

The war of the sixties was thus an
object lesson for future use, and after
each campaign of General Lee against
Washington, new defensive works were
erected, and from these were developed
the present defensive system against pos-
sible attacks by fleets or armies, or both.

The joint manoeuvres to begin to-night
are to test the efficiency of this system
to the end that it may be strengthened
where it may be found to be weak, and
nothing is to be taken for granted, as in
1811. The forts and the whole defensive
system are to be put to the severest prac-
tical test possible in "make-believe" war.
The exercises are to be for another
study: The Chesapeake Bay, where guard-
ed by Fortress Monroe, is only about
twenty miles wide, and a number of new
problems having application to the heavy
armaments and torpedo systems of mod-
ern warfare will be worked out and set-
tled, if possible.

As already explained in our news col-
umns, the joint exercises will involve at-
tacks by a fleet on the Chesapeake Bay
defensive system, including Fortress Mon-
roe and forts at Annapolis, Baltimore and
other points, as well as attacks on the
forts on the Potomac near Washington.
With a naval base at Norfolk, a strong
fleet will demonstrate against Fortress
Monroe and attempt to make a landing
at some point from which a force may
march on Washington. The army division
is to be used to prevent a landing and to
defend the capital against an enemy ap-
proaching from any direction, and to de-
fend Baltimore at the several forts built
for the protection of that city.

The exercises will be spectacular and
instructive, and the Army and Navy De-
partments at least think that they will
be worth the cost.

Words of Warning.

Editor of The Times-Dispatch:
Sir—I most heartily approve your lead-
ing editorial on the subject of the coming
primary in the Democratic party in this
State, and would like to add a few words
to the views advanced by yourself.

If by trickery, fraud or what
not, the primary is made to defeat the
will of the majority, the system will re-
ceive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow. You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the primary is made to defeat
the will of the majority, the system will
receive a serious set-back, if it does not
receive its death blow." You might have
said: "If by trickery, fraud or what not,
the primary is made to defeat the will of
the majority, the system will receive a
serious set-back, if it does not receive its
death blow." You might have said: "If
by trickery, fraud or what not, the primary
is made to defeat the will of the majority,
the system will receive a serious set-back,
if it does not receive its death blow." You
might have said: "If by trickery, fraud or
what not, the